

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ingw

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/736,607	12/17/2003	Koichi Kishiro	OKI.601	4083	
20987	20987 7590 03/07/2005			EXAMINER	
	NE FRANCOS, & WH	WILSON, ALLAN R			
ONE FREEDOM SQUARE 11951 FREEDOM DRIVE SUITE 1260 RESTON, VA 20190			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2815		

DATE MAILED: 03/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/736,607	KISHIRO, KOICHI			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Allan R. Wilson	2815			
The MAILING DATE Period for Reply	of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with th	he correspondence address			
THE MAILING DATE OF T - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the may be a second of the period for reply specified about 15 NO period for reply is specified a Failure to reply within the set or extensions.	THIS COMMUNICATION. e under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 illing date of this communication. ve is less than thirty (30) days, a reply bove, the maximum statutory period w ended period for reply will, by statute, er than three months after the mailing	IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MON (16(a). In no event, however, may a reply the within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) (18) apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAND (19) date of this communication, even if timely	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to comm	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 December 2003.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL						
, , ,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are p 4a) Of the above clai 5)□ Claim(s) is/ar 6)□ Claim(s) is/ar 7)□ Claim(s) is/ar 8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> are sub	m(s) is/are withdrave e allowed. e rejected. e objected to.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)∐ The oath or declaration	on is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Off	fice Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9					
a) All b) Some * 1. Certified copie 2. Certified copie 3. Copies of the application from	c) None of: s of the priority documents s of the priority documents certified copies of the priori m the International Bureau	have been received in Applic ty documents have been rece	cation No eived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PT) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent 		4) Ll Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma				
3) Information Disclosure Statement Paper No(s)/Mail Date	- , , ,		al Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Election/Restriction

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 6-8, drawn to a semiconductor device, classified in class 257, subclass 347.
- II. Claims 1-5, drawn to a method of making a semiconductor device, classified in class 438, subclass 149.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product made and process of making. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case unpatentability of group I invention would not necessarily imply unpatentability of the group II invention, since the device of the group I invention could be made by a process different than the one proposed in the group II invention. For example, instead of "ion implanting an impurity" one diffuses an impurity in claim 1.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from an examiner should be directed to Primary Examiner Allan Wilson whose telephone number is (571) 272-1738. Examiner Wilson can normally be reached 7:00-4:00 Monday-Thursday and 6:00-3:00 on Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on (571) 272-1664. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/736,607

Art Unit: 2815

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Allan R. Wilson Primary Examiner

Page 3

3 March 2005